#### Adjoint sensitivity in an online weather forecast model: Developing the Adjoint of Black Carbon in WRFPLUS

August 20, 2013

Jonathan Guerrette (CU Boulder)

Daven Henze

Eureka, CA

(CU Boulder) **Gregory Carmichael** (University of Iowa)

Sacramento

Santa Rosa

Image: MODIS true color image on June 24, 2008 (http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/)

This research is funded by

**U.S. EPA - Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Program** 

Grant # EPA-G2010-STAR-L1

#### **Black Carbon**



#### $D \approx 200-800$ nm (Encompasses wavelength of visible light)

http://cires.colorado.edu/jimenez-group/wiki/index.php/DAURE\_Microscopy Esther Coz of CIEMAT/IDAEA-CSIC at RJ Lee Group, Inc. facilities



## **Radiative Forcing Phenomena**

- Direct radiative forcing
  - Local temp. change
- Semi-direct effect
  - Increased static stability below BC (warming or cooling)
  - Cloud evaporation



#### **Radiative Forcing Phenomena**

#### • Aerosol Indirect Effect

Increase in cloud albedo and lifetime due to increased cloud condensation nuclei



5

#### Anthropogenic BC Climate Forcing

Global climate forcing of black carbon and co-emitted species in the industrial era (1750 - 2005)



Bond et al. (2013)

#### Global BC Surface Concentration (model year 2000)



Koch et al. (2009)

#### **Concentration Uncertainty Attribution**

- Boundary Conditions: upstream chemical boundary should be well-characterized or based on global model results
- Emissions Inventories
  - Anthropogenic (e.g. diesel, industrial coal, biofuel cooking/heating) [1,220 to 15,000 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>]
  - Open Biomass Burning [2,000 to 11,000 Gg yr<sup>-1</sup>]
- Vertical Mixing/Transport (future work)
- Wet/dry Deposition Losses (future work)

# How to determine error sources?

#### • Sensitivity Studies

- Perturb model inputs
  - Non-exact derivatives, low detail information
  - Expensive for thorough study of all inputs N+1 model runs for N finite difference sensitivities (see below)

#### – Adjoint

- Exact sensitivities
- Single model run for sensitivity of single output to all inputs u, v, w, T, q<sub>v</sub>, [BC] and E<sub>BC</sub> at all input locations/times
   Example model run: 192,000 locations, 24 hourly times.
- Data Assimilation: Assess error sources and reduce model bias by perturbing inputs (future work)

# Offline vs. Online Meteorology

- Chemical Transport Model (CTM)
  - Offline physics state variables from reanalysis data
  - dt = 1-6 hr, with interpolation
  - Direct radiative forcing only
- MET-Chem model
  - Online dynamics solved every time step
  - Feedbacks between BC absorption and meteorology
  - Direct and cloud radiative forcing

# **Previous CTM Adjoint Studies**

- "Adjoint inverse modeling of BC during the Asian Pacific Regional Aerosol Characterization Experiment"
  - Hakami et al. (2005)
  - Recovered spatially resolved anthropogenic and biomassburning emissions using 4DVar Data Assimilation
- "Origin and radiative forcing of black carbon transported to the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau"

- Kopacz et al. (2010)

#### WRF Model Capabilities

#### WRF Meteorology:

Non-hydrostatic moist dynamics, diffusion, subgrid parameterizations for PBL, Cumulus Convection, Microphysics, Radiation WRF-Chemistry: Advection, emission, fire plume rise, 1<sup>st</sup>-order decay, dry/wet deposition losses, PBL and convective transport of Black Carbon and other chemical compounds

WRFPLUS: Adjoint and Tangent Linear models for dynamics, diffusion, and select parameterizations WRFDA: 3DVAR and 4DVAR data assimilation predicated on WRFPLUS

## 2008 ARCTAS-CARB Case Study

- Aircraft retrievals Jun 20, 22, 24, 26 over CA
- BC measured from SP2 device on board DC-8
- Fires in Northern California
- Clear skies (no cumulus clouds)



#### Jun 22, 2008 Emissions

#### NEI2005 Anthropogenic Emissions



#### **FINN Biomass Burning Emissions**



#### **BC Biomass Emissions Diurnal Cycle**



# WRF-Chem Model Setup

- dx = 12 km (120 x 120 x 30 levels)
- dt = 60 seconds
- Initial Conditions: Spin-up from Jun 15
- Boundary:
   [BC] = 0.01ug/m<sup>3</sup>
- Anthropogenic: NEI2005
- Biomass Burning: FINN







#### Jun 22, 2008

- **MODIS AQUA True Color** 
  - UTC 20:35 (12:35 PST)
- 12km Total daily BB Emissions
- **1min flight concentration**

Large source, might need stronger advection Small source might need to be bigger Visible smoke, small/negligible source

> PDT = UTC - 8PST = UTC - 7

# June 23 Average Surface BC (IMPROVE network)



# Adjoint Modeling

- OUTPUTS: Sensitivity of a single scalar output to model inputs
- INPUTS: Adjoint forcing
- Adjoint variables are gradients of original model state variables, linearized at a chosen model configuration
- Integrates backwards from final to initial time
- Requires TRAJECTORY of nonlinear model state variables at every time step – memory intensive

# **Adjoint Forcing**

Choose the cost function

$$J = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} [BC]_{i,j,k}$$

 $i = [i_0, i_f], j = [j_0, j_f], k = [k_0, k_f]$ 

• Take derivative w.r.t. state variables

$$\lambda_{BC,i,j,k} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial [BC]}\Big|_{i,j,k} =$$

$$i_0 < i < i_f,$$
1.0  $j_0 < j < j_f,$ 
 $k_0 < k < k_f$ 

# New Adjoint Capabilities

- Anthropogenic and Biomass Burning Emissions for BC and sulfate precursors
- BC aging
- Sulfate aerosol chemistry (TESTING)
- PBL transport of chem species (DEVELOPING)
- Deep cumulus convective transport of chem species (DEVELOPING)
- Dust aerosols (FUTURE WORK)

## **Finite Difference Test**



#### Model Verification: Results



#### **ARCTAS-CARB** Sensitivity Study



#### Sensitivity of Column BC to Emissions(x,t)



#### Sensitivity of Column BC to Emissions(x,t)









#### Next Steps

- Increase Adjoint resolution to 12km (3.375x memory and time requirements)
- Add PBL and Cumulus Convection adjoints to trace back vertical transport
- Include multiple obs. and multiple obs. types in a single adjoint simulation

• Long Term: 4DVar Data Assimilation

#### References

2007 Report to Congress on the Benefits and Costs of Federal Regulations and Unfunded Mandates on State, Local, and Tribal Entities. Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. June 2008.

Bond, T. C. and Doherty, S. J. and Fahey, D. W. and Forster, P. M. and Berntsen, T. and DeAngelo, B. J. and Flanner, M. G. and Ghan, S. and Kärcher, B. and Koch, D. and Kinne, S. and Kondo, Y. and Quinn, P. K. and Sarofim, M. C. and Schultz, M. G. and Schulz, M. and Venkataraman, C. and Zhang, H. and Zhang, S. and Bellouin, N. and Guttikunda, S. K. and Hopke, P. K. and Jacobson, M. Z. and Kaiser, J. W. and Klimont, Z. and Lohmann, U. and Schwarz, J. P. and Shindell, D. and Storelvmo, T. and Warren, S. G. and Zender, C. S. *Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment*. Journal of Geophysical Research, Volume 118, Issue 11, 5380-5552, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50171, Jun 2013.

Koch, D. and Schulz, M. and Kinne, S. and McNaughton, C. and Spackman, J. R. and Balkanski, Y. and Bauer, S. and Berntsen, T. and Bond, T. C. and Boucher, O. and Chin, M. and Clarke, A. and De Luca, N. and Dentener, F. and Diehl, T. and Dubovik, O. and Easter, R. and Fahey, D. W. and Feichter, J. and Fillmore, D. and Freitag, S. and Ghan, S. and Ginoux, P. and Gong, S. and Horowitz, L. and Iversen, T. and Kirkevåg, A. and Klimont, Z. and Kondo, Y. and Krol, M. and Liu, X. and Miller, R. and Montanaro, V. and Moteki, N. and Myhre, G. and Penner, J. E. and Perlwitz, J. and Pitari, G. and Reddy, S. and Sahu, L. and Sakamoto, H. and Schuster, G. and Schwarz, J. P. and Seland, Ø. and Stier, P. and Takegawa, N. and Takemura, T. and Textor, C. and van Aardenne, J. A. and Zhao, Y. Evaluation of black carbon estimations in global aerosol models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9001-9026, 2009.

W.C. Skamarock, Joseph B. Klemp, Jimy Dudhia, David O. Gill, Dale M. Barker, Michael E. Duda, Xiang-Yu Huang, Wei Wang, and Jordan G. Powers. *A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3.* NCAR Technical Note. Boulder, Colorado, USA: National Center for Atmospheric Research, Mesocale and Microscale Meteorology Division, June 2008.

G. A. Grell et al., "Fully coupled 'online' chemistry within the WRF model," Atmospheric Environment, vol. 39, no. 37, pp. 6957–6975, 2005.

Jacob, D. J., Crawford, J. H., Maring, H., Clarke, A. D., Dibb, J. E., Emmons, L. K., Ferrare, R. A., Hostetler, C. A., Russell, P. B., Singh, H. B., Thompson, A. M., Shaw, G. E., McCauley, E., Pederson, J. R., and Fisher, J. A.: The Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) mission: design, execution, and first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5191-5212, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5191-2010, 2010.

Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625-641, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.

#### **Bottom-up Emission Inventories**

• Anthropogenic

$$E_{ANT,i} = A_i EF_i (1 - eff_i)$$

A = activity EF = emission factoreff = abatement efficiency

• Biomass Burning (0.29-5.0x uncertainty bands)

$$E_{BB,i} = BA_i FL_i CC_i EF_i$$

BA = burned area FL = fuel load CC = combustion completeness EF = emission factor BC

Bond et al. (2013)

#### Sensitivity of Column BC to Emissions(x,t)



#### Sensitivity of Column BC to Emissions(x,t)



